

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FU	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,689	10/603,689 06/26/2003		Hidetoshi Ohnuma	SON-2769	2872
23353	7590	90 07/25/2006		EXAMINER	
RADER FIS	SHMAN	& GRAUER PL	CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH		
LION BUILD		I.W., SUITE 501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT		-	1756		

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/603,689	OHNUMA, HIDETOSHI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daborah Chacko-Davis	1756				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICHE - Extension after SIX ( - If NO peric - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period very within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠ Thi 3)⊡ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M s action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This ce this application is in condition for allowar sed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition	of Claims						
4a) 5)	of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawim(s) is/are withdrawim(s) is/are allowed.  im(s) is/are allowed.  im(s) is/are objected to.  im(s) is/are objected to.  im(s) are subject to restriction and/outpers  specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accordicant may not request that any objection to the oblacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine oath or declaration of the oath of the	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) ☐ Notice of t 3) ☑ Informatio	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  S)/Mail Date 05/12.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/603,689 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed May 12, 2006, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein i.e., International Search Report mailed February 1, 2005, has not been considered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 15-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0138742 (Irie et al., herein after referred to as Irie).

Irie, in the abstract, in [0013], [0015], [0022], [0041], [0095], [0132], [0134],

[0135], [0136], [0137], [0145], [0146], [0162], [0163], [0175], [0201], [0202], [0203], [0204], and in figures 2B, 4, and 6, discloses a method of projecting a desired pattern (photolithography) on a device substrate (object to be exposed) using a reflective mask (reticle for use in the EUV exposure region) comprising providing reticles (master reticles R1....RN) each having pattern elements (mark elements) with mark elements aligned in the X-direction (125X, mark elements horizontal to the projection vector), and mark elements aligned in the Y-direction (125Y, mark elements perpendicular to the projection vector), wherein the reticle is rotated by rotational means (main control system) so as to align the corresponding mark elements (either mark element in the Xdirection or mark element in the Y-direction) in the Z-direction (projection vector, best focus position), and performing sequential exposures (X-direction elements rotated about 90 degree to align in the projection beam, and Y-direction elements rotated about 90 degree to align with the projection beam, performing plural exposures) through the respective reticles, while adjusting the tilt angles by rotating the substrate and the reticles (125X, and 125Y) through the main control system thereby canceling any errors (due to angle deviations) and forming the desired pattern on the substrate (reference 4) (claims 1-2, 6-7, 15-16, and 20). Irie, in [0200], [0204], discloses that the exposure light is either a EUV ray or an X-ray (claims 3, and 17). Irie, in [0133], discloses that the patterns can be formed on the substrate using an electron beam system (electron beam exposure performed) (claims 3, and 18). Irie, in [0029], [0109], [0119], and [0134], and in figures 2B, and 4, discloses that the reticle pattern that includes the mark elements formed in the Y-direction (125Y, V-line mask) relative to the projection vector (best

Art Unit: 1756

focus position) corresponds to the scanning direction (operating direction) of the optical system (exposure system) (claims 5, and 19).

### Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed May 12, 2006, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The 102 rejection made over claims 1-7, and 15-20, in the previous office action (paper no. 0207) has been maintained.
- A) Applicants argue that Irie '733 disclosure only encompasses paragraphs [0001] to [0165], and claims 1 to 35, and that there is no disclosure apparently to the cited paragraphs [0175], [0201], [0202], [0203], and [0204] of the Irie CIP Publication, therefore the statements of the anticipation rejection as presented cannot be applicable to the Irie CIP Publication.

The cited portion [0175] of the Irie CIP publication that is relied upon is literally the same as paragraph no. [0129] of the Irie '733 publication that discusses the yawing error and the canceling of any errors due to angled deviations. The cited portions relied upon in the office action viz., [0200], [0201], [0202], [0203], and [0204], are exactly the same as paragraph nos. [0154], [0155], [0156], [0157], and [0158] of the Irie '733 publication that discusses performing exposures using either an excimer laser or X-ray, or EUV light. Therefore, cited portions in question are taught in the Irie '733 publication and the statements of the anticipation rejection as presented in paragraph no. 3 of the present action and in the previous office action (paper no. 0207) are applicable to the Irie CIP Publication.

## Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daborah Chacko-Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1380. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F Huff can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Application/Control Number: 10/603,689

Art Unit: 1756

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dcd

July 20, 2006.

JOHN A MCPHERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6